Mild P–P Bond Cleavage in the Methyldiphosphenyl Complex $[Mo_2Cp_2(\mu-PCy_2)(\mu-\kappa^2:\kappa^2-P_2Me)(CO)_2]$ To Give Novel Phosphide-Bridged Trinuclear Derivatives

M. Angeles Alvarez,[†] M. Esther García,[†] Daniel García-Vivó,[†] Raquel Lozano,[†] Alberto Ramos,^{*,‡} and Miguel A. Ruiz^{*,†}

[†]Departamento de Química Orgánica e Inorgánica/IUQOEM, Universidad de Oviedo, E-33071 Oviedo, Spain [‡]Instituto Nacional del Carbón, Spanish Research Council for Scientific Research (CSIC), Francisco Pintado Fe 26, E-33011 Oviedo, Spain

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: Reactions of the title diphosphenyl complex with $[Fe_2(CO)_9]$ and $[W(CO)_4(THF)_2]$ gave the trinuclear species $[Mo_2FeCp_2(\mu_3-P)(\mu-PCy_2)(\mu_3-PMe)(CO)_5]$ and $[Mo_2WCp_2(\mu_3-P)(\mu-PCy_2)(\mu_3-PMe)(CO)_6]$ following from formal insertion of the 14-electron fragments $Fe(CO)_3$ and $W(CO)_4$, respectively, in the P–P bond of the diphosphenyl ligand and formation of a new heterometallic bond [Mo-Fe = 2.9294(6) Å and Mo-W = 3.146(1) Å]. Reactions of the



diphosphenyl complex with the tetrahydrofuran adducts $[ML_n(THF)] (ML_n = MnCp'(CO)_2, W(CO)_5)$ led instead to trinuclear diphosphenyl complexes $[Mo_2MCp_2(\mu-PCy_2)(\mu_3-\kappa^2:\kappa^2:\kappa^1-P_2Me)(CO)_2L_n]$ following from coordination in each case of the corresponding 16-electron fragment ML_n to the lone-pair-bearing P atom of the P₂Me ligand. However, these diphosphenyl complexes were unstable and decomposed at room temperature or under mild heating by the release of methylphosphinidene (PMe), to give the corresponding derivatives $[Mo_2MCp_2(\mu_3-P)(\mu-PCy_2)(CO)_2L_n]$ displaying trigonal-planar phosphide ligands, giving rise to strongly deshielded ³¹P NMR resonances (δ_P ca. 1100 ppm), while being involved in strong π bonding with the unsaturated Mo₂ center of these molecules [Mo-Mo = 2.749(1) Å and Mo-P = ca. 2.30 Å when M = W]. An isolobal analogy could be established between the $P \rightarrow ML_n$ fragments in these products and a carbyne ligand (CR), supported by density functional theory calculations on the tungsten compound, which also enabled an easy interpretation and prediction of their chemical behavior. Thus, the manganese complex could be reversibly carbonylated ($p_{CO} = \text{ca. } 3 \text{ atm}, 293 \text{ K}$) to give the corresponding electron-precise pentacarbonyl [MnMo₂Cp₂Cp'(μ_3 -P)(μ -PCy₂)(CO)₅] [Mo-Mo = 3.1318(7) Å], a process also involving a trans-to-cis rearrangement of the Mo₂Cp₂ subunit. On the other hand, decarbonylation of the tungsten complex was accomplished in a refluxing toluene solution to give the hexacarbonyl [Mo₂WCp₂(μ_3 -P)(μ -PCy₂)(μ -PCy₂)(μ -CO)(CO)₅], a derivative containing an unsaturated 30-electron dimolybdenum center with an intermetallic triple bond.

INTRODUCTION

We recently described the preparation of the methyldiphosphenyl complex $[Mo_2Cp_2(\mu-PCy_2)(\mu-\kappa^2:\kappa^2-P_2Me)(CO)_2]$ (1) through the room-temperature reaction of MeI with the anionic diphosphorus complex $[Mo_2Cp_2(\mu-PCy_2)(\mu-\kappa^2:\kappa^2-P_2)-(CO)_2]^-$, a molecule prepared, in turn, through the symmetrical cleavage of white phosphorus (P₄) by the unsaturated dimolybdenum complex $[Mo_2Cp_2(\mu-PCy_2)(\mu-CO)_2]^-$ under mild conditions (Scheme 1).¹

Among the family of reported diphosphenyl complexes, compound 1 represents a unique example of a complex displaying a P₂R ligand symmetrically bridging two metal atoms (type D in Chart 1) because the most common coordination mode for this ligand is the terminal κ^1 mode found in mononuclear compounds (type A in Chart 1).² There are also some examples of μ_2 -P₂R complexes with other arrangements of the bridging ligand, generally derived from reactions of a parent mononuclear κ^1 -diphosphenyl complex with different

metal fragments. Most of these reactions involve the lone pair (LP) of the metal-bound P atom to give a *P:P*-bridged derivative (type B coordination), as found in the reactions of $[MCp^*(NO)(CO)(\kappa^1-P_2Mes^*)]$ (M = Mn, Re; Mes^* = 2,4,6- $C_6H_2^tBu_3$) with a Cr(CO)₅ fragment to give $[CrMCp^*(\mu-\kappa^1:\kappa^1-P_2Mes^*)(NO)(CO)]$.³ Alternatively, the terminal complex can bind a second metal fragment through its P–P double bond to give a *P:P,P'*-bridged derivative (type C coordination), as observed in the reaction of $[FeCp^*(CO)_2(\kappa^1-P_2Mes^*)]$ with a Pt(PPh_3)_2 moiety to give $[FePtCp^*(\mu-\kappa^1:\kappa^2-P_2Mes^*)-(CO)_2(PPh_3)_2]$.⁴ Finally, a few examples of the μ_3 -P₂R complexes have been also reported, these involving the participation of both P-based LPs and also the P–P double bond for binding to the metal atoms, as found in the triiron complexes $[Fe_3Cp_3(CO)_2(\mu_3\cdot\kappa^1:\kappa^2:\kappa^1-P_2^tBu)(\mu_3-P^tBu)]$ (type

Received: July 30, 2014 **Published:** October 10, 2014



Chart 1



E),⁵ [Fe₃Cp*(μ_3 - κ^1 : κ^1 : κ^2 -P₂^tBu)(CO)₁₀] (type F),⁶ and [Fe₃Cp*(μ_3 - κ^1 : κ^2 : κ^2 -P₂^tBu)(CO)₈] (type G).⁶

Complexes of types F and G can obviously be related to those of types C and D, respectively, and expected to be formed from the latter ones upon additional coordination of a 16electron metal fragment through the LP at the bridgehead P atom. Unfortunately, the behavior of complexes with bridging diphosphenyl ligands has been little explored to date, and the outcome of these seemingly simple reactions might not be so straightforward. In particular, reactions of a type D diphosphenyl complex with an unsaturated metal fragment might involve P-P cleavage (or insertion) processes. Precedents for such possible outcomes can be found in the reaction of the μ_3 -diphosphenyl complex [Fe₃Cp*(μ_3 - κ^2 : κ^2 : κ^1 - $PC(O)P^{t}Bu\}(CO)_{8}$, with the latter following from insertion of a CO molecule in the P–P bond of the diphosphenyl ligand,⁶ and in the room temperature reaction of the diphosphorusbridged complex $[\text{Re}_2\text{Cp}_2^*(\mu-\kappa^2:\kappa^2-P_2)(\text{CO})_4]$ (a molecule related to diphosphenyl complexes of type D) with [W- $(CO)_{5}(THF)$ (THF = tetrahydrofuran) to give inter alia a phosphide complex $[W_2 Re_2 Cp^*_2(\mu_3 P)_2(CO)_{12}]$ derived from insertion of the tungsten fragment in the P-P bond of the diphosphorus ligand.⁷ Because cleavage of P-P bonds in this sort of ligand is a matter of general interest in the context of P₄ activation by transition-metal complexes⁸ and recalling that eventually compound 1 is derived itself from white phosphorus, we considered it of interest to explore the reactivity of our diphosphenyl complex with different precursors of 14- and 16electron metal carbonyl fragments. These reactions, however,

proved to be rather complex and strongly dependent on the stoichiometry and reaction conditions, and we here report on those reactions incorporating a single metal fragment, while those leading to tetranuclear derivatives of 1 will be reported separately.⁹ As will be shown below, all reactions incorporating a single metal fragment eventually involve the cleavage of the P-P bond in the diphosphenyl ligand of 1 under mild conditions, to yield derivatives containing phosphide and methylphosphinidene ligands, with the latter being sometimes decoordinated along the process.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Incorporation of 14-Electron Metal Fragments. Compound 1 reacted readily at room temperature with stoichiometric amounts of $[Fe_2(CO)_9]$ to give the trinuclear phosphide methylphosphinidene complex $[Mo_2FeCp_2(\mu_3-P)(\mu-PCy_2)(\mu_3-PMe)(CO)_5]$ (2a), formally derived from addition of the 14-electron fragment $Fe(CO)_3$ to a MoP_2 face of the Mo_2P_2 tetrahedral core of 1 with full scission of the P–P bond (Scheme 2). Analogously, reaction of 1 with a freshly prepared





solution of the THF adduct $[W(CO)_4(THF)_2]$ gave $[Mo_2WCp_2(\mu_3-P)(\mu-PCy_2)(\mu_3-PMe)(CO)_6]$ (2d), a trinuclear cluster displaying a structure comparable to that of 2a, as discussed below, and following analogously from formal addition of the 14-electron fragment $W(CO)_4$ to a MoP_2 face of the core of 1 with P-P bond cleavage. This reaction, however, also gave small amounts of the type G diphosphenyl complex $[Mo_2WCp_2(\mu - PCy_2)(\mu_3 - \kappa^2 : \kappa^2 : \kappa^1 - P_2Me)(CO)_7]$ (3d) and the phosphide derivative $[Mo_2WCp_2(\mu_3-P)(\mu-PCy_2) (CO)_7$ (4d). The latter side products contain $W(CO)_5$ fragments and likely are derived from the presence of small amounts of the pentacarbonyl adduct $[W(CO)_5(THF)]$ in the corresponding reaction mixtures, as shown by separate experiments to be discussed later on (Scheme 2). On the other hand, we note that formation of the pentacarbonyl complex 2a is itself somewhat unexpected because $[Fe_2(CO)_9]$ is a well-known precursor of the 16-electron fragment $Fe(CO)_4$ and is therefore expected to lead to a type G derivative, as noted above. It is likely that such a derivative might be initially formed in the above reaction and then rapidly undergoes decarbonylation to yield 2a, a matter to be discussed in more detail later on.

Solid-State Structure of Compounds 2a and 2d. The structure of 2a in the crystal lattice (Figure 1 and Table 1) can



Figure 1. ORTEP diagram (30% probability) of compound 2a with H atoms and Cy groups (except the C1 atoms) omitted for clarity.

Table 1. Selected Bond Lengths (Å) and Angles (deg) for Compound 2a

Mo1-Mo2	2.9897(4)	C1-Mo1-Mo2	116.2(1)
Mo1-Fe1	2.9294(6)	C2-Mo2-Mo1	83.5(1)
Mo1-P1	2.4852(8)	P3-Mo1-P1	73.79(3)
Mo2-P1	2.4289(8)	P3-Mo2-P1	74.11(3)
Mo1-P2	2.4565(9)	P2-Mo1-P1	101.91(3)
Mo2-P2	2.3665(9)	P2-Mo2-P1	106.32(3)
Mo1-P3	2.4769(9)	P2-Mo1-P3	65.60(3)
Mo2-P3	2.5133(9)	P2-Mo2-P3	66.33(3)
Fe1-P2	2.176(1)	P2-Fe1-P3	73.10(3)
Fe1-P3	2.308(1)	Mo1-Fe1-P3	54.91(2)
Mo1-C1	1.965(4)	Mo1-Fe1-P2	55.17(3)
Mo2-C2	1.983(4)	C3-Fe1-C4	99.1(2)
Fe1-C3	1.766(4)	C3-Fe1-C5	102.6(2)
Fe1-C4	1.812(4)	C4-Fe1-C5	95.1(2)
Fe1-C5	1.785(4)		
P2-C6	1.859(4)		

be derived from that of the parent methyldiphosphenyl complex 1 after addition of a pyramidal $Fe(CO)_3$ fragment to the Mo1–P2–P3 face of the tetrahedral Mo_2P_2 core of 1 with full cleavage of the P-P bond, as indicated by the long P-P separation of 2.672(1) Å [cf. 2.085(1) Å in 1],² thus giving rise to new phosphinidene (PMe) and phosphide (P) ligands, both of them triply bridging the resulting V-shaped Mo₂Fe metal core. The somewhat distorted transoid arrangement of the molybdenum-bound Cp and CO ligands, denoted by the quite different Mo-Mo-CO angles of 116.2(1) and 83.5(1)°, is reminiscent of that found in the parent complex 1 and related derivatives of the anion $[Mo_2Cp_2(\mu-PCy_2)(\mu-\kappa^2:\kappa^2-P_2)-(CO)_2]^{-1,10}$ probably caused here by the steric pressure induced by the apical P atom (P3) and the $Fe(CO)_3$ fragment on the CO ligand attached to Mo1, while the basal P atom (P2) remains placed close to the Mo₂P(Cy) plane. The Mo-Mo bond distance of 2.9897(4) Å is consistent with the formulation of a single Mo-Mo bond, as required on the basis of the 18electron rule for this molecule, and the same can be said of the Mo1-Fe1 separation of 2.9294(6) Å, which is comparable to that measured for the 34-electron complex [FeMoCp(μ - $PCy_2)(CO)_6]^{.11}$

The coordination of phosphide and methylphosphinidene ligands in **2a** deserves some comments. As for the first ligand,

we note that most pyramidal μ_3 -P complexes structurally characterized so far involve P atoms bridging metal triangles, and compounds **2a** and **2d** actually represent the first examples of V-shaped trinuclear clusters triply bridged by a phosphide ligand to be structurally characterized. The Mo–P3 separations of 2.50 Å are only slightly shorter than the corresponding separations in **1** (ca. 2.53 Å), while the Fe–P3 length [2.308(1) Å] is ca. 0.2 Å shorter, as expected from the difference in covalent radii of Mo and Fe atoms.¹² These separations are thus consistent with a formal description of the P atom as contributing with one electron to each of the metal atoms. For comparison, the phosphide ligands bridging triangular faces in the clusters $[W_6Cp*_2(\mu_3-P)_2(\mu_4-P)_2(CO)_{16}]^{13}$ and $[Mo_2WCp_2Cp*(\mu_3-P)(CO)_6]^{14}$ display M–P lengths in the range 2.39–2.52 Å.

In order to fulfill an 18-electron configuration around each metal center, however, the phosphinidene ligand should contribute with one electron to each Mo atom and with two electrons to the Fe atom. This is in agreement with the quite short Fe1-P2 length of 2.176(1) Å, which falls in the range of distances found for compounds of the formula $[Fe_2Cp_2(\mu CO_2(CO)(PR_3)$] (2.13–2.21 Å) having classical two-electron P-atom donors.¹⁵ Moreover, it might be anticipated that the lower coordination number of the Mo2 atom should be balanced with a stronger coordination of the PCy₂ ligand to that center, which is in agreement with the shorter P1-Mo2 length of 2.4289(8) Å [cf. 2.4852(8) Å for P1–Mo1], although the phosphinidene ligand also seems involved in the task because it is also placed significantly closer to the Mo2 atom [2.3665(9) vs 2.4565(9) Å]. We finally note the coordination environment of the phosphinidene P atom in 2a, with the methyl group almost placed in the Fe1-P2-Mo2 plane, thus configuring a geometry strongly departing from the more common tetrahedral environment of phosphorus. This geometry likely allows for a stronger interaction of phosphorus with the Fe1 and Mo2 atoms (recall the shorter lengths of these bonds), and is not unusual in 50-electron (hence V-shaped) trinuclear clusters bridged by phosphinidene ligands,¹⁶ although it seems that no related clusters containing group 6 metals have been structurally characterized so far.

The structure of 2d in the crystal lattice is comparable to that of 2a if we just replace the $Fe(CO)_3$ fragment with the isoelectronic $W(CO)_4$ one; therefore, a detailed discussion is not needed. There are two independent molecules in the unit cell, quite similar to each other, and a view emphasizing the Vshaped metal core of one of the two molecules is shown in Figure 2, while the most relevant geometrical parameters are collected in Table 2. As found for the iron cluster, the PCy₂ and PMe ligands are placed ca. 0.1 Å closer to the Mo atom having the lower coordination number (Mo1 here), and the phosphinidene ligand formally provides the W atom with two electrons, in agreement with the very short W-P2 length of 2.409(1) Å [cf. 2.594(1) Å for W-P1]. The newly formed Mo-W bond [3.146(1) Å] is longer than the PCy₂-bridged Mo-Mo bond [2.976(1) Å], but such an intermetallic length still is fairly normal for a single bond. For comparison, the phosphinidene-bridged Mo-Mo bonds in the 48-electron clusters $[Mo_2MCp_2(\mu_3-PPh)(CO)_7]$ (M = Fe, Ru) display comparable Mo–Mo lengths of ca. 3.17 Å. 17

Solution Structure of Compounds 2a and 2d. The IR spectrum of compound 2a in a dichloromethane solution exhibits four CO stretching bands at 2010, 1945, 1927, and 1892 cm⁻¹ (Table 3). The first three bands can be attributed to



Figure 2. ORTEP diagram (30% probability) of one of the two independent molecules of compound **2d** in the unit cell, with H atoms and Cy groups (except the C1 atoms) omitted for clarity.

Table 2. Selected Bond Lengths (\AA) and Angles (deg) for Compound 2d

Mo1-Mo2	2.976(1)	C1-Mo1-Mo2	83.6(2)
Mo2-W1	3.146(1)	C2-Mo2-Mo1	117.5(1)
Mo1-P1	2.492(2)	P3-Mo1-P1	73.34(5)
Mo2-P1	2.452(1)	P3-Mo2-P1	72.51(4)
Mo1-P2	2.399(1)	P2-Mo1-P1	69.13(5)
Mo2-P2	2.526(1)	P2-Mo2-P1	67.75(5)
Mo1-P3	2.413(1)	P2-Mo1-P3	108.70(5)
Mo2-P3	2.501(1)	P2-Mo2-P3	102.08(4)
W1-P1	2.594(1)	P1-W1-P2	67.29(5)
W1-P2	2.409(1)	W1-P1-Mo1	106.18(5)
Mo1-C1	1.979(5)	W1-P1-Mo2	77.10(4)
Mo2-C2	1.993(5)	W1-P2-Mo1	115.53(5)
W1-C3	1.993(5)	W1-P2-Mo2	79.18(4)
W1-C4	2.042(5)	C3-W1-C4	83.5(2)
W1-C5	2.007(5)	C4-W1-C5	81.5(2)
W1-C6	2.021(5)	C3-W1-C5	107.7(2)
P2-C7	1.851(5)		

a pyramidal Fe(CO)₃ oscillator in a low-symmetry environment¹⁸ and are comparable to those measured in the related clusters [FeMo₂Cp₂(μ_3 -X)(μ -PCy₂)(CO)₅] (X = COMe, OMe),¹⁹ or [FeMo₂Cp₂(μ -PPh₂)(μ_3 -CCPh)(CO)₅].²⁰ The fourth band can be attributed to the Mo₂(CO)₂ oscillator of the molecule, but the other band arising from this fragment cannot be identified in solution. This circumstance is not unusual in heterometallic clusters combining Mo₂(CO)₂ oscillators with M(CO)_x ones (x = 3–5) and is due to the comparatively low intensity of the bands mainly arising from the former oscillator.¹⁹ In fact, the IR spectrum of **2a** recorded in a Nujol mull allows identification of the two bands assignable to the Mo₂(CO)₂ fragment, which appear at 1868 (m) and 1829 (w, sh) cm⁻¹, in positions comparable to those of several heterometallic derivatives of the type $[Mo_2MCp_2(\mu-PCy_2)(\mu_3-\kappa^2:\kappa^2:\kappa^1-P_2)(CO)_2L_n]$ having structures comparable to that of 1.¹⁰ In the same line, we note that the IR spectrum of **2d** in solution exhibits only two bands of strong intensity at 2010 and 1911 cm⁻¹, which are clearly assignable to the C–O stretches of the W(CO)₄ fragment, while no bands at frequencies below 1900 cm⁻¹ attributable to the Mo₂(CO)₂ oscillator are observed in this case.

The NMR spectra of compounds 2a and 2d are essentially consistent with their solid-state structures. The ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectra show in each case three distinct resonances corresponding to the PMe, P, and PCy₂ ligands, respectively (Table 3). The phosphide and phosphinidene ligands display negligible mutual coupling, in agreement with the P-P bond cleavage operated on the former diphosphenyl ligand (cf. ${}^{1}J_{PP}$ = 503 Hz in 1), and the PCy₂ ligand in 2a displays moderate but distinct two-bond coupling to the PMe (14 Hz) and P (9 Hz) ligands, which are close to zero in the case of 2d. The ³¹P chemical shifts of ca. 300 ppm for the PMe ligands in 2a and 2d are not very unusual for phosphinidene ligands bridging three metal atoms,^{16,21,22} but the shifts of 239.2 and 124.5 ppm for the phosphide ligands in these clusters must be considered as rather low for a pyramidal μ_3 -P ligand. For comparison, the phosphide bridging the trimetal core in the 48-electron clusters $[Mo_2MCp_3(\mu_3-P)(CO)_6]$ displays chemical shifts of 560.3 and 459.0 ppm when M = Mo and W, respectively.²³ Such relative shieldings in compounds 2 are likely derived from the fact that these molecules are V-shaped, 50-electron clusters rather than triangular, 48-electron clusters, and we notice that a similar shielding effect has been previously found for phosphinidenebridged trinuclear clusters when going from 48- to 52-electron species.^{16,22} We finally note that the ¹H and ¹³C{¹H} NMR spectra of these compounds are generally consistent with the absence of any symmetry element in these molecules, except for the ${}^{13}C$ resonances of the heterometallic M(CO), fragment, which in both cases appear as just a single resonance at 212.8 (Fe) or 215.9 (W) ppm. This is indicative of the operation in solution of a dynamic process fast on the NMR time scale, not investigated but likely involving the pyramidal rotation of the $M(CO)_n$ fragment to render time-averaged equivalence for all CO ligands in the fragment.

Table	e 3.	Selecte	d IR ^a	and	³¹ P{	${}^{1}H$	• NMR	Data ^b	for	New	Com	pound	ds
-------	------	---------	-------------------	-----	------------------	-----------	-------	-------------------	-----	-----	-----	-------	----

			$\delta_{ ext{P}} \left(J_{ ext{PP}} ight) \left[J_{ ext{PW}} ight]$	
compound	u(CO)	PCy ₂	Р	PMe
$[Mo_2FeCp_2(\mu_3-P)(\mu-PCy_2)(\mu_3-PMe)(CO)_5]$ (2a)	2010 (vs), 1945 (m), 1927 (m), 1892 (m)	151.4 (14, 9)	239.2 (9)	268.2 (14)
$[Mo_2WCp_2(\mu_3-P)(\mu-PCy_2)(\mu_3-PMe)(CO)_6]$ (2d)	2010 (vs), 1911 (vs)	165.7 (br)	124.5 (br)	321.5 (br)
$[MnMo_2Cp_2Cp'(\mu-PCy_2)(\mu_3-P_2Me)(CO)_4] (3b)$		157.4 $(br)^c$	-42.9 (br, 400) ^c	-180.3 (br, 400) ^c
$[Mo_2WCp_2(\mu - PCy_2)(\mu_3 - P_2Me)(CO)_7]$ (3d)	2065 (w), 1938 (vs), 1918 (m, sh) ^d	148.7 (56, 16) ^e	$-236.7 (419, 56)^e$	$-154.9 (419, 16)^e$
$[MnMo_{2}Cp_{2}Cp'(\mu_{3}-P)(\mu-PCy_{2})(CO)_{4}] (4b)$	1941 (s), 1885 (vs)	117.6	1103.3	
$[Mo_{3}Cp_{2}(\mu_{3}-P)(\mu-PCy_{2})(CO)_{7}]$ (4c)	2064 (m), 1947 (vs)	124.7	1159.3	
$[Mo_2WCp_2(\mu_3-P)(\mu-PCy_2)(CO)_7]$ (4d)	2062 (m), 1942 (vs)	126.8	1081.4 [156]	
$[MnMo_2Cp_2Cp'(\mu_3-P)(\mu-PCy_2)(CO)_5] (5)$	1964 (s), 1924 (vs), 1889 (s), 1859 (s)	223.2 (11)	951.0 (11)	
$[Mo_2WCp_2(\mu_3-P)(\mu-PCy_2)(\mu-CO)(CO)_5] (6)$	2063 (m), 1941 (vs), 1714 (w)	246.0 (30)	925.2 (30) [160]	

^{*a*}Recorded in a dichloromethane solution, with CO stretching bands $[\nu(CO)]$ in cm⁻¹. ^{*b*}Recorded in CD₂Cl₂ at 121.50 MHz and 298 K, with coupling constants (J_{PP}) and $[J_{PW}]$ in hertz. ^{*c*}In THF at 162.14 MHz. ^{*d*}In toluene. ^{*e*}In toluene at 162.14 MHz.

Incorporation of 16-Electron Metal Fragments. Reactions of 1 with the metal carbonyl adducts $[MnCp'(CO)_2(THF)]$ and $[W(CO)_5(THF)]$ at room temperature yielded the expected trinuclear complexes $[MnMo_2Cp_2Cp'(\mu-PCy_2)(\mu_3-\kappa^2:\kappa^2:\kappa^1-P_2Me)(CO)_4]$ (3b) and $[Mo_2WCp_2(\mu - PCy_2)(\mu_3 - \kappa^2 : \kappa^2 - P_2Me)(CO)_7] (3d) \text{ following}$ from addition of the corresponding 16-electron metal fragments via the LP of the apical P atom of the diphosphenyl ligand (Scheme 2; $Cp' = \eta^5 - C_5 H_4 Me$). However, these compounds turned out to be rather unstable and could only be characterized partially in solution by IR and NMR inspection of the crude reaction mixtures, which progressively evolve to give the corresponding phosphide complexes $[MnMo_2Cp_2Cp'(\mu_3-P)(\mu-PCy_2)(CO)_4]$ (4b) and $[Mo_2WCp_2(\mu_3-P)(\mu-PCy_2)(CO)_7]$ (4d). The tungsten compound 3d was thermally more robust, and full transformation into 4d was more conveniently completed upon stirring a toluene solution of the complex at 343 K for 2 h. However, we noticed that both transformations 3a,3d/4a,4d occur very rapidly upon attempted chromatographic purification on alumina even at 253 K. We finally note that compounds 4 follow from formal loss of methylphosphinidene in the diphosphenyl complexes 3, but the fate of this unstable molecule could not be determined because no major resonances other than those attributed to compounds 4b and 4d were present in the ³¹P NMR spectra of the corresponding reaction mixtures.

Reaction of compound 1 with the related THF adduct $[Mo(CO)_{5}(THF)]$ is more complex because it gives initially three different products that we have only identified through the ³¹P NMR spectra of the crude reaction mixture. These uncharacterized substances (denoted as A-C; see the Experimental Section) decompose rapidly during attempted purification to give the phosphide derivative $[Mo_3Cp_2(\mu_3-P)(\mu_3-P)]$ $PCy_2)(CO)_7$ (4c) as the unique product. Because the latter is isolated in good yield after chromatographic workup (ca. 75%), we suggest that all three species eventually decompose to give the same phosphide derivative 4c. Although we have not characterized these intermediates, it is obvious that they still preserve the PMe moiety because they all display three distinct ³¹P NMR resonances. However, the connectivity between the P atoms of the former diphosphenyl ligand could not be unambiguously determined in compounds A-C because they all exhibited moderate P-P couplings in the range 80-100 Hz, thus ruling out a type G coordination of this ligand comparable to that observed in compounds 3, characterized by much larger one-bond couplings (ca. 400 Hz). In any case, our results indicate that the $\kappa^2:\kappa^2:\kappa^1$ -coordination mode of the diphosphenyl ligand in these systems (type G in Chart 1) is somehow disfavored, a matter to be discussed later on.

Solid-State and Electronic Structure of 4d. The structure of this phosphide-bridged complex was confirmed through a single-crystal X-ray diffraction analysis (Figure 3 and Table 4). Although there is some disorder reducing the quality of data (see the Experimental Section), the essential structural features are well-defined. The molecule is built from two MoCp(CO) fragments arranged in a transoid fashion and symmetrically bridged by a PCy_2 ligand and by a trigonal-planar phosphide ligand (P1), which is also bound to an exocyclic $W(CO)_5$ moiety, thus completing an octahedral coordination environment around the W atom. The Mo atoms are likely connected through a double bond, as suggested by the short intermetallic separation of 2.749(2) Å, which is only marginally



Figure 3. ORTEP diagram (30% probability) of compound 4d with H atoms and Cy groups (except the C1 atoms) omitted for clarity.

Table 4. Selected Bond Lengths (Å) and Angles (deg) for Compound 4d

Mo1-Mo2	2.749(2)	P2-Mo1-P1	107.8(1)
Mo1-P1	2.305(4)	P2-Mo2-P1	109.0(1)
Mo2-P1	2.302(4)	Mo1-P1-Mo2	73.3(1)
Mo1-P2	2.417(3)	Mo1-P2-Mo2	69.9(1)
Mo2-P2	2.383(3)	C1-Mo1-Mo2	79.1(5)
P1-W1	2.457(3)	C2-Mo2-Mo1	84.6(8)
Mo1-C1	2.04(2)	Mo1-P1-W1	144.4(2)
Mo2-C2	2.00(2)	Mo2-P1-W1	142.4(2)
W1-C3	2.01(2)	P1-W1-C3	90.5(4)
W1-C4	2.03(2)	P1-W1-C4	87.1(5)
W1-C5	2.06(2)	P1-W1-C5	89.6(4)
W1-C6	2.06(2)	P1-W1-C6	87.1(5)
W1-C7	2.03(2)	P1-W1-C7	178.1(7)

longer than the one determined for the related 32-electron diphenylphosphide complex $[Mo_2Cp_2(\mu-PPh_2)_2(CO)_2]$ (ca. 2.71 Å).²⁴ As found for the latter molecule, the planar Mo_2P_2 core is nearly perpendicular to the average plane defined by the Mo atoms and the carbonyl ligands attached to them (C1– $Mo1-Mo2-P1 = ca. 88^{\circ}$), while the latter ligands are leaning over the Mo-Mo vector (Mo-Mo-CO = ca. 80°). These structural features are characteristic of all unsaturated complexes of the type $[Mo_2Cp_2(\mu-PCy_2)(\mu-X)(CO)_2]$ (X = H, R, CR, COR, NCHR) previously described by us.²⁵

Analysis of the binding in the trigonal-planar $[\Sigma(M-P1-M')]$ = ca. 360°] phosphide ligand of 4d is less straightforward. There are some 10 complexes with this geometry around phosphorus structurally characterized so far. Among them, those involving Mo or W atoms display quite diverse M-P lengths, in the range 2.27-2.54 Å, obviously following from accommodation of the phosphide ligand to different electronic demands of the metal fragments bound to it. In the case of 4d, the Mo-P lengths of ca. 2.30 Å are ca. 0.1 Å shorter than the corresponding lengths for the PCy2 ligand and therefore indicative of substantial multiplicity in these bonds. Actually, these lengths are almost identical with those measured for the phosphinidene-bridged complexes $[Mo_2Cp_2(\mu-PMes^*) (CO)_{4}^{2}$, $(Mo_{2}Cp_{2}I_{2}(\mu-PMes^{*})(CO)_{2}^{2}$, and $(Mo_{2}Cp_{2}(\mu-PMes^{*})(CO)_{2})_{2}^{27}$ PMes*)(μ -CO)₂],²⁸ which also display trigonal-planar environments around the P atom, with formal Mo–P bond orders of 1.5,^{29,30} while the formal intermetallic bond orders increase from 1 to 3 along this series. The W1–P1 distance of 2.457(3) Å in 4d can be considered as consistent with a description of the corresponding interaction as a dative $P \rightarrow W$ bond. The

obvious metric references in this case are mononuclear phosphine compounds of the type $[W(CO)_5(PR_3)]$, but an inspection of the (many) available structures in the Cambridge Crystallographic Database revealed that these distances are considerably influenced by the steric demands of the R groups. In the absence of strong steric repulsions, these W–P lengths are usually found slightly below 2.50 Å, reaching values as short as 2.45 Å for some cyclic phosphines³¹ or even ca. 2.38 Å for cyclic phosphites.³²

The above geometric analysis supports our view of the P–W interaction in 4d as a dative single bond, while π bonding of the phosphide ligand would mainly involve the Mo atoms. A similar situation has been previously found in the alkoxide complexes $[W_4(OR)_4(\mu_3 P)_2(CO)_{10}]$ (R = Xyl, ^tBu), with P–W lengths of ca. 2.29 Å and P–W(CO)₅ lengths of ca. 2.50 Å.³³ However, in the cluster $[W_3(C_5H_4^{t}Bu)_3(\mu_3 P)(CO)_7]$, the W–P lengths are comparable to those above,³⁴ even if the long P–W bond now involves a 17-electron W(CO)_3Cp fragment. In order to further clarify the π (P–M) interactions in our complexes, we carried out density functional theory (DFT) calculations on 4d and analyzed the corresponding molecular orbitals (see the Supporting Information, SI), as well as the electron density in the M–M and M–P bonds, as managed under the atoms-in-molecules framework.³⁵

The DFT-optimized geometry of 4d (Figure 4) is in good agreement with the structure determined crystallographically,



Figure 4. DFT-optimized structure of compound **4d**, with H atoms omitted for clarity. Selected bond lengths (Å): Mo–Mo = 2.797; Mo– $PCy_2 = 2.456$ and 2.448; Mo–P = 2.335 and 2.330; W–P = 2.516.

with computed distances involving the metal atoms a bit longer than the experimental figures, as was usually found in these sorts of calculations. Bonding at the central Mo₂P₂ core is strongly reminiscent of that computed for the 32-electron carbyne complex $[Mo_2Cp_2(\mu$ -CCO₂Me)(μ -PCy₂)(CO)₂] at the same level of theory.^{25c} The Mo–Mo double bond in 4d follows from a configuration of the type $\delta^2 \sigma^2 \pi^2 \delta^{*2}$, with the δ^* orbital (HOMO–1, MO166) involved in back-bonding to the carbonyls, while the δ orbital (MO159) is strongly stabilized through mixing with the p_{π} orbital of the phosphide ligand, thus accounting for the π (P–Mo) interaction within the Mo₂P ring (Figure 5). We note that the latter orbital also contains some contribution of the W atom, thus pointing to some involvement of the latter in π bonding with the phosphide ligand, but the extent of this interaction is difficult to quantify from a simple orbital analysis. To further clarify this point, we then examined



Figure 5. Selected molecular orbitals computed for 4d, with their energies (in eV) and main bonding character indicated below.

the electron densities (ρ) at the bond critical points (bcp's) of the bonds of interest (Table 5). First we noticed that the electron density at the Mo–Mo bcp (0.394 e Å⁻³) is only slightly lower than the value computed for the mentioned carbyne complex (0.444 e Å⁻³), substantially higher than the values of ca. 0.2 e Å⁻³ computed for single Mo–Mo bonds in related species,^{25c} and therefore consistent with the double

Table 5. Topological Properties of the Electron Density at the bcp's a

	4d		4d	-W	5 ^b		
bond	ρ	$\nabla^2 ho$	ρ	$\nabla^2 \rho$	ρ	$\nabla^2 \rho$	
Mo1-Mo2	0.394	0.923	0.419	1.046	not lo	ocated	
Mo1-P	0.653	2.851	0.668	2.260	0.731	3.371	
Mo2-P	0.658	2.836	0.672	2.252	0.370	1.403	
W/Mn-P	0.439	3.623			0.628	4.039	
Mo1-PCy	0.524	3.018	0.519	3.156	0.551	3.346	
Mo2-PCy	0.534	2.976	0.527	3.113	0.398	2.160	

^{*a*}Values of the electron density at the bcp's (ρ) are given in e Å⁻³, and those of its Laplacian at these points ($\nabla^2 \rho$) are given in e Å⁻⁵. ^{*b*}Mo2 refers to the atom bearing two CO ligands.

intermetallic bond formulated for this molecule. As for the bonds involving the phosphide ligand, we note that the electron density at the Mo-P bcp's (ca. 0.65 e $Å^{-3}$) is substantially higher than those at the strong single bonds of the PCy₂ ligand (ca. 0.53 e $Å^{-3}$), somewhat higher than the value of ca. 0.59 e Å⁻³ computed for the more congested phosphinidene-bridged complex $[Mo_2Cp_2(\mu-PMes^*)(\mu-CO)_2]$ (with a formal Mo-P bond order of 1.5), and nearly identical with the figure of 0.654 e Å⁻³ computed for the Mo–P double bond in $[Mo_2Cp_2(\mu$ -PH)(CO)₂(η^6 -HMes*)].³⁶ All of this is indicative of a strong π interaction between the phosphide ligand and Mo atoms. In contrast, the electron density at the W–P bpc (0.439 e Å⁻³) is even lower than those involving the PCy2 ligand and therefore points to a single bond interaction with negligible π contribution from the phosphide ligand. In fact, the electron density computed for a hypothetical $WP(CO)_5$ molecule with a W-P fixed length of 2.516 Å (the value in the optimized structure of 4d) is not lower but slightly higher (0.492 e Å⁻³) surely because of increased back-bonding from the tungstenbased orbitals. Moreover, we note that electron distribution within the Mo₂P ring is little perturbed upon removal of the full $W(CO)_5$ fragment to yield a molecule with a bent μ_2 -P ligand $[Mo_2Cp_2(\mu_2-P)(\mu-PCy_2)(CO)_2]$ (4d-W; see the SI). Indeed, the electron density at the Mo–P bcp in 4d-W (0.67 e Å⁻³; Table 5) is only marginally higher than that in 4b, with this further indicating that π interaction of the W atom with the phosphide ligand in 4b is negligible.

In summary, from the precedent analysis, we conclude that π interaction of the phosphide ligand in 4d is essentially located over the Mo₂P triangle, much in the same way as π interaction of a bridging carbyne ligand, whereas its interaction with the W atom is best described as just a single donor P \rightarrow W bond. Thus, we can think of the P \rightarrow W(CO)₅ fragment as an isolobal analogue of a carbyne ligand (CR). Indeed, the frontier orbitals of such a phosphide fragment (see the SI) are like those of a carbyne,^{37,38} and such an analogy will be useful to guide and interpret the reactivity of compounds 4 to be discussed later on.

Solution Structure of Compounds 3 and 4. As mentioned earlier, the diphosphenyl complexes 3b and 3d could only be partially characterized in solution by IR and ³¹P NMR inspection of the crude reaction mixtures because of their low stability (Table 3). Unambiguous assignment of the CO stretches of 3b could not be done, while the IR spectrum of 3d was dominated by bands attributed to its $W(CO)_5$ fragment $[2065 (w), 1938 (vs) and 1918 (m, sh) cm⁻¹]. Their ³¹P{¹H}$ NMR spectra display three different resonances in each case, with the most deshielded one ($\delta_{\rm P}$ ca. 150 ppm) being assigned to the PCy₂ ligand by analogy with the parent methyldiphosphenyl complex and related compounds.¹ The diphosphenyl ligand gives rise to two upfield doublets in each case, displaying a large J_{PP} value above 400 Hz, which is indicative of direct P–P bonding, and individual assignment of these resonances in 3d to the PMe (δ_p –154.9 ppm) and P (δ_p –236.7 ppm) atoms could be unambiguously made by a comparison of the ¹Hdecoupled and undecoupled spectra. Assignment of the broad resonances observed for the manganese compound 3b was made on the assumption that, compared to 3d, the P resonance should be largely shifted downfield because of the change in metal (Mn vs W), leading to its identification with the -42.9 ppm resonance. Indeed, we have shown previously that resonances of heterometal-bound P atoms in diphosphorusbridged complexes $[Mo_2MCp_2(\mu - \kappa^2 : \kappa^2 : \kappa^1 - P_2)(\mu - PCy_2) - \kappa^2 : \kappa$ $(CO)_{2}L_{n}$ move upfield by some 100 ppm as we go from

first- to second-row metals and by a similar amount as we go from second- to third-row metals. $^{\rm 1b}$

Spectroscopic data in solution for compounds 4 are consistent with the solid-state structure of 4d. Once more, bands due to the $Mo_2(CO)_2$ oscillator were not appreciated in the solution IR spectra for these complexes, which instead were dominated by the bands arising from the ML_n fragments terminally bound to the phosphide ligand $(MnCp'(CO)_2)$ and $M(CO)_5$). Yet, the ¹H and ¹³C{¹H} NMR spectra of these compounds are as expected for molecules with a C_2 axis containing both P nuclei, thus rendering CO, Cp, and Cy pairs equivalent in the Mo₂ unit. The most remarkable spectroscopic feature of compounds 4, however, is found in their ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H{}$ NMR spectra, which in each case display two negligibly coupled resonances, as were typically found for mixed PR₂ complexes of the type trans- $[M_2Cp_2(\mu-PR_2)(\mu-PR'_2)(CO)_2]$ also having planar M_2P_2 cores.³⁹ The PCy₂ group in compounds 4 give rise to a resonance at ca. 120 ppm, a position comparable to those found in the mentioned mixed complexes. In contrast, the phosphide ligand gives rise to a dramatically deshielded resonance at around 1100 ppm, which is characteristic of trigonal-planar phosphide ligands coordinated to three metal centers. Yet, this shift still is substantially higher than those measured for related phosphide-bridged complexes involving similar metal atoms (cf. 885 ppm for $[W_2Re_2Cp_2^*(\mu_3 P_{2}(CO)_{12}]^{7}$ 882.5 ppm for $[MoW_{2}Cp(\mu_{3}-P)(CO)_{12}]^{1}$ or even 558.2 ppm for $[W_4(OXyl)_4(\mu_3-P)_2(CO)_{10}]$.^{33a}

Reaction Pathways to the Trinuclear Derivatives of 1. In spite of the diverse output of the reactions of 1 with different metal carbonyl solvates discussed so far, these all seem to be initiated analogously, then evolving differently depending on the nature of each particular metal fragment being added (Scheme 3). The first step in all cases would be the

Scheme 3



incorporation of a 16-electron metal fragment ML_n [Fe(CO)₄, W(CO)₄(THF), MnCp'(CO)₂, Mo(CO)₅, W(CO)₅] to the P atom bearing the LP in the diphosphenyl ligand, to yield $\kappa^2:\kappa^2:\kappa^1$ -diphosphenyl intermediates of type F only detected in some cases (**3b** and **3d**). When the added fragment is W(CO)₄(THF), this first step would be naturally followed by THF dissociation and insertion of the resulting unsaturated W(CO)₄ fragment in the P–P bond of the diphosphenyl ligand and creation of a new W–Mo bond, to eventually give the phosphide phosphinidene complex **2d**. Formation of the iron product **2a** likely would proceed analogously from the

corresponding intermediate of type G, after spontaneous decarbonylation of the $Fe(CO)_4$ fragment. Interestingly, the first step in the suggested sequence leading to compounds 2 is related to the electrophilic activation of P₄ by silylenes, phosphenium cations, and related carbene-like species,⁴⁰ a process also resulting in the eventual insertion of the added electrophile in a P-P bond. In contrast, intermediates of type G not releasing easily a ligand from the ML_n fragment [as is the case of fragments $MnCp'(CO)_2$ and $W(CO)_5$] would alternatively evolve through extrusion of the phosphinidene "PMe" moiety to give compounds 4. It is difficult to identify the origin of the low stability of type G intermediates in these reactions, but the steric pressure introduced by the relatively bulky ML, fragments being added might by a major factor contributing to this effect. The case of the $Mo(CO)_5$ fragment stands apart because three different intermediates, none of them with a structure of type G, seem to precede formation of the corresponding phosphide derivative 4c, as noted above, and we will not speculate about their possible nature.

Carbon Monoxide (CO) Uptake and Release in Phosphide-Bridged Complexes 4. We have shown above that, at the structural and electronic levels, the phosphidebridged complexes 4 can be related to carbyne complexes of the type $[Mo_2Cp_2(\mu-CX)(\mu-PCy_2)(CO)_2]$ by virtue of the isolobal relationship between the $PW(CO)_5$ and CX moieties, and we might ask whether this analogy can also be extended to their chemical behavior. As a proof of concept to address this question, we have examined carbonylation and decarbonylation reactions of some of these phosphide complexes.

The 32-electron carbyne complexes mentioned above have been shown to be carbonylated to give either ketenyl $[Mo_2Cp_2\{\mu$ -C(X)CO} $(\mu$ -PCy_2)(CO)_2] or tricarbonyl $[Mo_2Cp_2(\mu$ -CX)(μ -PCy_2)(CO)_3] derivatives, depending on X (OMe, Ph).^{25c,b} The manganese complex **4b** readily reacts with CO under mild conditions (293 K, 3 bar) to give the pentacarbonyl derivative $[MnMo_2Cp_2Cp'(\mu_3-P)(\mu$ -PCy_2)- $(CO)_5]$ (**5**), an electron-precise molecule following from coordination of a CO molecule and trans-to-cis rearrangement of the Mo_2Cp_2 moiety (Scheme 4). This reaction thus matches exactly the carbonylation of the methoxycarbyne complex $[Mo_2Cp_2(\mu$ -COMe)(μ -PCy_2)(CO)_2], including the trans-to-cis rearrangement, a behavior also shown by some other isoelectronic species such as the alkenyl complexes $[Mo_2Cp_2(\mu-\eta^1:\eta^2$ -CHCHR)(μ -PCy_2)(CO)_2] (R = H, p-

Scheme 4



tolyl)⁴¹ and even by the diethylphosphide complex $[Mo_2Cp_2(\mu-PEt_2)_2(CO)_2]$.³⁹ We note that compound **5** is a rather unstable molecule that starts to release CO upon removal of the CO atmosphere, to regenerate the parent compound **4b**. Yet, we were able to grow a few crystals of it, thus enabling its full structural characterization, to be discussed below.

On the other hand, we have shown previously that the carbyne complexes $[Mo_2Cp_2(\mu-CX)(\mu-PCy_2)(CO)_2]$ usually require photochemical activation in order to remove a CO molecule from them, although the benzylidyne complex (X = Ph) can be decarbonylated very slowly in refluxing toluene solutions.^{25b,c} In all cases, however, the product formed is the corresponding 30-electron monocarbonyl $[Mo_2Cp_2(\mu-CX)(\mu-PCy_2)(\mu-CO)]$ with a Mo–Mo triple bond.⁴² In a similar way, the tungsten complex 4d was decarbonylated in a refluxing toluene solution to give $[Mo_2WCp_2(\mu_3-P)(\mu-PCy_2)(\mu-CO)-(CO)_5]$ (6), a molecule retaining an intact $PW(CO)_5$ fragment bound to an unsaturated dimolybdenum center now formally bearing an intermetallic triple bond (Scheme 4).

Solid-State and Solution Structure of 5. The molecule of **5** in the crystal is built from MoCp(CO) and $MoCp(CO)_2$ fragments directly bonded to each other and displaying a cisoid arrangement of the Cp ligands (Figure 6 and Table 6). These



Figure 6. ORTEP diagram (30% probability) of compound 5 with H atoms and Cy groups (except the C1 atoms) omitted for clarity.

Table 6.	Selected	Bond	Lengths	(Å)	and	Angles	(deg)	for
Compou	ind 5							

Mo1-Mo2	3.1318(7)	P2-Mo1-P1	94.95(5)
Mo1-P1	2.385(1)	P2-Mo2-P1	83.22(5)
Mo2-P1	2.551(2)	Mo1-P1-Mo2	78.69(5)
Mo1-P2	2.233(2)	Mo1-P2-Mo2	80.91(5)
Mo2-P2	2.577(1)	Mo1-P2-Mn1	143.21(8)
P2-Mn1	2.153(2)	Mo2-P2-Mn1	135.82(8)
Mo1-C1	1.942(6)	C1-Mo1-Mo2	111.5(2)
Mo2-C2	1.933(6)	C2-Mo2-Mo1	111.3(2)
Mo2-C3	1.961(6)	C3-Mo2-Mo1	116.6(2)
Mn1-C4	1.784(7)	C2-Mo2-C3	76.5(2)
Mn1-C5	1.787(7)	C4-Mn1-C5	91.5(3)

two fragments are bridged by a PCy₂ ligand and by a trigonalplanar phosphide ligand (P2), which is also coordinated to an exocyclic MnCp'(CO)₂ fragment. The corresponding Mn–P distance of 2.153(2) Å is comparable to the value measured for the phosphite complex [Mn(η^5 -triindane)(CO)₂{P(OMe)₃}] (2.1673(6) Å)⁴³ and is therefore consistent with the formulation of a single dative bond for this interaction, as found for 4d. The Mo-Mo distance of 3.1318(7) Å is consistent with a single Mo-Mo bond, as predicted under the 18-electron formalism while using the isolobal relationship discussed above, which implies a 3-electron contribution of the $P \rightarrow MnCp'(CO)_2$ fragment to the dimetal unit, and is only marginally longer than those measured for related species such as the alkenyl complex $[Mo_2Cp_2(\mu-\eta^1:\eta^2-CHCH_2)(\mu-PCy_2)-(CO)_3]$ [3.0858(7) Å]^{41a} but ca. 0.34 Å longer than the figure of 2.749(2) Å measured for the Mo-Mo double bond in 4d. The P atoms bridging the Mo₂ unit define a puckered PMo₂P rhombus (P1-Mo2-Mo1-P2 = ca. 132°) with distances to Mo1 substantially shorter than those to Mo2, thus balancing the lower coordination number of the former atom. The Mo1- PCy_2 length of 2.385(1) Å is ca. 0.15 Å shorter than the Mo2- PCy_2 one [2.551(2) Å], therefore consistent with formal $P \rightarrow$ Mo1 and P-Mo2 descriptions for these bonds. As for the phosphide ligand, the Mo1-P and Mo2-P lengths [2.233(2) and 2.577(1) Å] are respectively shorter and much longer than the average value of ca. 2.30 Å measured for the symmetrical phosphide ligand in 4d, thus supporting the formulation of Mo-P double and single bonds, respectively (Scheme 3).³⁶ The phosphide asymmetry in 5 is thus more pronounced than those found for the complexes $[Cr_2WCp(\mu_3-\hat{P})(CO)_{12}]$,⁴⁴ and $[MoW_2(\mu_3-P)Cp(CO)_{12}]$,¹⁴ which display short M–P lengths of ca. 2.26 and 2.30 Å, respectively.

To further support our description of the phosphide bonding in **5**, we carried out DFT calculations analogous to those discussed for **4d** (see the SI). The optimized structure is comparable to the one determined crystallographically, with the phosphide ligand bridging the Mo atoms very asymmetrically (Mo–P = 2.251 and 2.678 Å). The molecular orbitals in this case are heavily mixed and therefore little informative in this respect, but the electron density at the Mo1–P bcp (0.731 e Å⁻³; Table 5) is substantially higher than the value of ca. 0.65 e Å⁻³ for the symmetrical phosphide in **4d** and nearly doubles the figure for the long Mo2–P bond (0.370 e Å⁻³), all of this being consistent with the formulations of Mo–P double and single bonds, respectively.

Spectroscopic data in solution for 5 are in good agreement with its solid-state structure. Its IR spectrum displays four rather than the five C-O stretches expected from the superimposition of bands arising from independent $Mo_2(CO)_3$ and $Mn(CO)_2$ oscillators, surely as a result of the accidental degeneracy of two of them. Its ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum displays the characteristic strongly deshielded resonance corresponding to the trigonal-planar phosphide ($\delta_{\rm P}$ 951.0 ppm), which now is visibly coupled $({}^{2}J_{PP} = 11 \text{ Hz})$ to the PCy₂ resonance (δ_P 223.2 ppm), thus reflecting the reduced P– Mo-P angle in this molecule (average 89°) compared to 4d (ca. 109°).⁴⁵ We note that the PCy₂ resonance in **5** is 100 ppm higher than that in the precursor 4b. This is a general trend found when related couples of complexes are compared with formal Mo–Mo double and single bonds, such as $[Mo_2Cp_2(\mu \text{PEt}_{2}_{2}(\text{CO})_{n}$] (δ_{P} 78.7 and 194.7 ppm for n = 2 and 3),³⁹ $[Mo_2Cp_2(\mu-\eta^1:\eta^2-CHCH_2)(\mu-PCy_2)(CO)_n]$ (δ_P 135.0 and 250.5 ppm for n = 2 and 3),⁴¹ or $[Mo_2Cp_2(\mu-COMe)(\mu-COMe)(\mu-COMe)]$ $PCy_2)(CO)_n] (\delta_P 125.0 \text{ and } 219.7 \text{ ppm for } n = 2 \text{ and } 3).^{25}$

Solution Structure of 6. Although crystals suitable for Xray analysis could not be obtained for this compound, spectroscopic data in solution are enough to define its structure (Scheme 4). Its IR spectrum exhibits two bands at high frequencies [2063 (m) and 1941 (vs) cm⁻¹] corresponding to the W(CO)₅ fragment and a weaker band at low frequency (1714 cm⁻¹) characteristic of a bridging CO ligand, also identified through its strongly deshielded ¹³C NMR resonance $(\delta_{\rm C} 296.8 \text{ ppm})$. The ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum of **6** displays the expected highly deshielded resonance corresponding to the μ_3 -P ligand (δ_p 925.2 ppm), which is also appreciably coupled $(^{2}J_{\rm PP} = 30 \text{ Hz})$ to the PCy₂ resonance ($\delta_{\rm P}$ 246.0 ppm). Indeed, ${}^{2}J_{\rm PP}$ couplings in the related triply bonded monocarbonyls $[Mo_2Cp_2(\mu-PR_2)(\mu-PR'R')(\mu-CO)]$ fall in the range 20-30 Hz, and their ³¹P chemical shifts also are very high (cf. 263.7 ppm for $[Mo_2Cp_2(\mu-PCy_2)_2(\mu-CO)]$.³⁹ The ¹H and ¹³C{¹H} NMR spectra are indicative of a structure having a symmetry plane containing the P atoms bridging the Mo2 unit, thus rendering the Cp ligands equivalent. Yet, the Cy groups remain inequivalent, as indicated by the presence of eight resonances (four per group) in the ¹³C{¹H} NMR spectrum. Again, fast rotation on the NMR time scale around the P-W bond renders the equatorial CO ligands of the $W(CO)_5$ fragment equivalent, as denoted by the presence of a single resonance corresponding to these four ligands (197.5 ppm) and a separated one for the axial carbonyl (206.4 ppm), in a 4:1 intensity ratio.

CONCLUSIONS

The methyldiphosphenyl complex 1 easily incorporates 16electron ML_n fragments to give unstable trinuclear diphosphenyl complexes $[Mo_2MCp_2(\mu - PCy_2)(\mu_3 - \kappa^2 : \kappa^2 : \kappa^1 - P_2Me) (CO)_{2}L_{n}$ evolving via two main pathways: (a) release of an L ligand (L = THF, CO) with concomitant P-P bond cleavage and formation of a new Mo-M bond to give phosphide- and phosphinidene-bridged derivatives of the type $[Mo_2MCp_2(\mu_3 P(\mu-PCy_2)(\mu_3-PMe)L_{n-1}];$ (b) release of PMe to give the corresponding phosphide-bridged derivatives $[Mo_2MCp_2(\mu_3 P(\mu - PCy_2)(CO)_2L_n$]. The latter display trigonal-planar phosphide ligands involved in strong π bonding with the unsaturated Mo2 center of these molecules, while M-P interaction with the exocyclic ML_n fragment can be described as a single dative bond, a view further supported by DFT calculations, which also allows us to identify an isolobal analogy between the $P \rightarrow ML_n$ fragments in these products and a carbyne ligand (CR). The latter analogy enables, in turn, an easy interpretation and prediction of the reactivity of these unsaturated complexes concerning their uptake and release of simple ligands such as CO, which reproduces the chemical behavior of carbyne-bridged complexes $[Mo_2Cp_2(\mu-CX)(\mu-CX)]$ $PCy_2)(CO)_2$ and related molecules.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General Procedures and Starting Materials. All manipulations and reactions were carried out under a N2 (99.995%) atmosphere using standard Schlenk techniques. Solvents were purified according to literature procedures and distilled prior to use.⁴⁶ All reagents were obtained from the usual commercial suppliers and used as received, unless other wise stated, except for compounds $[MnCp'(CO)_2(THF)]^{47}$ $[M(CO)_5(THF)]$ (M = Mo, W),⁴⁸ and $[Mo_2Cp_2(\mu-PCy_2)(\mu-\kappa^2:\kappa^2-P_2Me)(CO)_2]$ (1),¹ which were prepared as described previously. Photochemical experiments were performed using jacketed Pyrex Schlenk tubes, cooled by tap water (ca. 288 K). A 400 W mercury lamp placed ca. 1 cm away from the Schlenk tube was used for these experiments. Modified literature procedures were employed in the preparation of adducts $[M(CO)_4(THF)_2]$ (M = Mo, W);⁴⁹ the molybdenum complex was obtained at 288 K at low reaction times, whereas formation of the tungsten complex required longer reaction times (1.5-2 h) and lower temperatures (273 K). In both cases, IR monitoring was used to determine the optimum reaction time. Petroleum ether refers to that fraction distilling in the range

338–343 K. Chromatographic separations were carried out using jacketed columns refrigerated by tap water or by a closed 2-propanol circuit kept at the desired temperature with a cryostat. Commercial aluminum oxide (activity I, 150 mesh) was degassed under vacuum prior to use. The latter was mixed under N₂ with the appropriate amount of water to reach activity IV. IR stretching frequencies of CO ligands measured in solution (using CaF₂ windows), or in Nujol mulls, are referred to as ν (CO) and are given in wave numbers (cm⁻¹). NMR spectra were routinely recorded at 300.13 (¹H), 121.50 (³¹P{¹H}), or 75.47 (¹³C{¹H}) MHz at 298 K in a CD₂Cl₂ solution unless otherwise stated. Chemical shifts (δ) are given in ppm, relative to internal tetramethylsilane (¹H and ¹³C) or external 85% aqueous H₃PO₄ solutions (³¹P). Coupling constants (J) are given in hertz.

Preparation of $[Mo_2FeCp_2(\mu_3-P)(\mu-PCy_2)(\mu_3-PMe)(CO)_5]$ (2a). Solid $[Fe_2(CO)_9]$ (0.025 g, 0.070 mmol) was added to a solution of compound 1 (0.045 g, 0.069 mmol) in dichloromethane (5 mL), and the mixture was stirred for 1 h at room temperature to give a brownorange solution mainly containing compound 2a, along with smaller amounts of a tetranuclear derivative. Solvent was then removed under vacuum, the residue was extracted with dichloromethane/petroleum ether (1:9), and the extracts were chromatographed through alumina at 288 K. An orange fraction was eluted with the same solvent mixture, which gave, after removal of solvents, compound 2a as an orange microcrystalline solid (0.020 g, 36%). Crystals suitable for X-ray analysis were grown by slow diffusion of a layer of petroleum ether into a concentrated toluene solution of the complex at 253 K. Anal. Calcd for C28H25FeMo2O5P2: C, 42.45; H, 4.45. Found: C, 42.58; H, 4.64. IR [ν (CO), Nujol]: 2010 (s), 1950 (s), 1936 (s), 1903 (vs), 1868 (m), 1829 (w, sh). ¹H NMR: δ 5.34 (d, $J_{\rm PH}$ = 1, 5H, Cp), 5.22 (s, 5H, Cp), 2.37 (d, J_{PH} = 8, 3H, PMe), 1.90–1.10 (m, 22H, Cy). ³¹P{¹H $\overline{}$ } NMR: δ 268.2 (d, J_{PP} = 14, μ_3 -PMe), 239.2 (d, J_{PP} = 9, μ_3 -P), 151.4 (dd, $J_{PP} = 14$, 9, μ -PCy₂). ³¹P NMR: δ 268.2 (m, br, μ_3 -PMe), 239.2 (d, br, $J_{PP} = 9$, μ_3 -P), 151.4 (m, μ -PCy₂). ¹³C{¹H} NMR: δ 236.9 (s, br, MoCO), 229.1 (d, J_{CP} = 40, MoCO), 212.8 (s, 3FeCO), 88.0, 87.9 (2s, Cp), 52.2 [dd, J_{CP} = 9, 2, C1(Cy)], 43.8 [dd, J_{CP} = 11, 11, C1(Cy)], 37.5 [s, C2(Cy)], 36.1 [s, 2C2(Cy)], 34.3 [d, $J_{CP} = 5$, C2(Cy)], 29.6 (d, $J_{CP} = 47$, PMe), 28.9 [d, $J_{CP} = 11$, C3(Cy)], 28.3 [d, $J_{CP} = 10$, 2C3(Cy)], 28.2 [d, $J_{CP} = 8$, C3(Cy)], 26.4, 26.2 [2s, C4(Cy)].

Preparation of $[Mo_2WCp_2(\mu_3-P)(\mu-PCy_2)(\mu_3-PMe)(CO)_6]$ (2d). A THF solution (5 mL) of the adduct $[W(CO)_4(THF)_2]$, prepared in situ from $[W(CO)_6]$ (0.017 g, 0.048 mmol), was added to a THF solution (5 mL) of compound 1 (0.030 g, 0.046 mmol), and the mixture was stirred for 50 min to give a brown-orange solution containing compounds 2d and 3d in a ratio of ca. 5:2, along with small amounts of 4d. Solvent was then removed under vacuum, the residue was extracted with dichloromethane/petroleum ether (1:8), and the extracts were chromatographed through alumina at 288 K. Elution with dichloromethane/petroleum ether (1:5) gave a brown-gray fraction, yielding, after removal of solvents, compound 2d as a brown solid (0.027 g, 62%). The crystals used in the X-ray study were grown by the slow diffusion of a layer of petroleum ether into a concentrated dichloromethane solution of the complex at 253 K. Anal. Calcd for C29H35M02O6P3W: C, 36.73; H, 3.72. Found: C, 37.46; H, 3.69. ¹H NMR: δ 5.39 (s, br, 5H, Cp), 5.24 (d, J_{PH} = 1, 5H, Cp), 2.21 (m, 1H, Cy), 1.95 (d, $J_{PH} = 5$, 3H, PMe), 1.90–1.10 (m, 21H, Cy). ³¹P{¹H} NMR: δ 321.5 (s, br, μ_3 -PMe), 165.7 (s, br, μ -PCy₂), 124.5 (s, br, μ_3 -P). ¹³C{¹H} NMR: δ 215.9 (s, WCO), 91.1, 88.6 (2s, Cp), 51.4 $[dd, J_{CP} = 7, 5, C1(Cy)], 37.8 [s, C2(Cy)], 36.7 [s, 2C2(Cy)], 36.4$ $\begin{bmatrix} dd, J_{CP} = 6, 4, C1(Cy) \end{bmatrix}, 34.0 \begin{bmatrix} d, J_{CP} = 5, C2(Cy) \end{bmatrix}, 29.2 \begin{bmatrix} d, J_{CP} = 11, C3(Cy) \end{bmatrix}, 29.2 \begin{bmatrix} d, J_{CP} = 43, PMe \end{bmatrix}, 28.7, 28.6 \begin{bmatrix} 2d, J_{CP} = 11, C3(Cy) \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 2d, J_{CP} = 43, PMe \end{bmatrix}, 28.7, 28.6 \begin{bmatrix} 2d, J_{CP} = 11, C3(Cy) \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 2d, J_{CP} =$ 28.3 [d, $J_{CP} = 8$, C3(Cy)], 26.6 [s, br, 2C4(Cy)]; the resonances corresponding to the molybdenum-bound CO ligands could not be clearly identified in this spectrum.

Preparation of Solutions of $[MnMo_2Cp_2Cp'(\mu-PCy_2)(\mu_3-\kappa^2\kappa^2\kappa^2-\kappa^{1-P_2}Me)(CO)_4]$ (3b). A THF solution (5 mL) of the adduct $[MnCp'(CO)_2(THF)]$, prepared in situ from $[MnCp'(CO)_3]$ (6 μ L, 0.038 mmol), was added to a THF solution (5 mL) of compound 1 (0.020 g, 0.031 mmol), and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 40 min to give a brown-orange solution containing

compound **3b** as the major product, along with small amounts of a tetranuclear compound. These species are both very unstable and decompose upon manipulation to give compound **4b** as the only carbonyl-containing product. ³¹P{¹H} NMR (THF, 162.14 MHz): δ 157.4 (s, br, μ -PCy₂), -42.9 (d, br, $J_{\rm PP}$ = 400, PMn), -180.3 (d, br, $J_{\rm PP}$ = 400, PMe).

Preparation of Solutions of [Mo₂WCp₂(μ-PCy₂)(μ₃-κ²:κ²:κ¹-P₂Me)(CO)₇] (3d). A THF solution (5 mL) of the adduct [W(CO)₅(THF)], prepared in situ from [W(CO)₆] (0.011 g, 0.031 mmol), was added to a THF solution (5 mL) of compound 1 (0.020 g, 0.031 mmol), and the mixture was stirred for 1 h in a Schlenk tube equipped with a Young's valve to give a brown-orange solution containing compound 3d as the major species. Attempts to further purify this compound were unsuccessful because of its progressive decomposition to give the phosphide complex 4d. ³¹P{¹H} NMR (toluene, 162.14 MHz): δ 148.7 (dd, J_{PP} = 56, 16, μ-PCy₂), -154.9 (dd, J_{PP} = 419, 16, PMe), -236.7 (dd, J_{PP} = 56, μ-PCy₂), -154.9 (d, br, J_{PP} = 419, PMe), -236.7 (dd, J_{PP} = 419, 56, PW).

Preparation of $[MnMo_2Cp_2Cp'(\mu_3-P)(\mu-PCy_2)(CO)_4]$ (4b). A THF solution (5 mL) of compound 1 (0.030 g, 0.046 mmol) was added to a THF solution of the adduct [MnCp'(CO)₂(THF)], prepared in situ from [MnCp'(CO)₃] (28 μ L, 0.178 mmol), and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 90 min to give a brownorange solution containing a mixture of 4b and 3b in a ratio of ca. 1:1, along with small amounts of a tetranuclear complex. Solvent was then removed, the residue was extracted with dichloromethane/petroleum ether (1:6), and the extracts were chromatographed through alumina at 253 K. Elution with dichloromethane/petroleum ether (1:8) gave first an orange fraction, which was discarded, and then a yellow one, yielding, after removal of solvents, compound 4b as an orange solid (0.026 g, 71%). Anal. Calcd for C₃₂H₃₉MnMo₂O₄P₂: C, 48.26; H, 4.94. Found: C, 48.03; H, 4.98. ¹H NMR: δ 5.76 (s, 10H, Cp), 5.15 (m, 2H, C_5H_4), 4.96, 4.94 (2m, 2 × 1H, C_5H_4), 2.45 (m, 2H, Cy), 2.02 (s, 3H, Me), 2.0–1.0 (m, 20H, Cy). ³¹P{¹H} NMR: δ 1103.3 (s, μ_3 -P), 117.6 (s, μ -PCy₂). ³¹P{¹H} NMR (161.98 MHz, 223 K): δ 1094.4 (s, μ_3 -P), 116.3 (s, μ -PCy₂). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100.61 MHz, 223 K): δ 231.9 (s, br, MoCO), 222.9 (s, br, MnCO), 90.6 (s, Cp), 102.6 [s, C1(C₅H₄)], 86.4, 85.5, 85.1, 83.7 [4s, $CH(C_5H_4)$], 43.7 [d, $J_{CP} = 20$, C1(Cy)], 35.5 [s, C2(Cy)], 30.3 [s, C2(Cy)], 28.1 [d, J_{CP} = 12, C3(Cy)], 28.0 [s, $J_{CP} = 12$, C3(Cy)], 26.3 [s, br, C4(Cy)], 14.2 (s, Me). Preparation of [Mo₃Cp₂(μ_3 -P)(μ -PCy₂)(CO)₇] (4c). A THF

solution (5 mL) of the adduct $[Mo(CO)_5(THF)]$, prepared in situ from [Mo(CO)₆] (0.010 g, 0.038 mmol), was added to a THF solution (5 mL) of compound 1 (0.020 g, 0.031 mmol), and the mixture was stirred for 1 h to give a brown solution containing three unidentified products (compounds A-C) in similar amounts. Solvent was then removed under vacuum, the residue was extracted with dichloromethane/petroleum ether (1:3), and the extract was chromatographed through alumina at 253 K. Elution with the same solvent mixture gave a yellow fraction, yielding, after removal of solvents, compound 4c as a yellow solid (0.020 g, 76%). Anal. Calcd for C₂₉H₃₂Mo₃O₇P₂ (4c): C, 41.35; H, 3.83. Found: C, 41.70; H, 3.81. Spectroscopic Data for Compound 4c. ¹H NMR: δ 5.86 (s, 10H, Cp), 2.50 (m, 2H, Cy), 2.10-1.00 (m, 20H, Cy). Spectroscopic Data for Compound A. ³¹P{¹H} NMR (THF): δ 299.6 (dd, J_{PP} = 93, 17, μ -P), 138.6 (dd, $J_{PP} = 16$, 8, μ -PCy₂), 137.9 (dd, $J_{PP} = 93$, 8, μ -P). Spectroscopic Data for Compound B. ³¹P{¹H} NMR (THF): δ 144.6 (dd, $J_{PP} = 83, 51, \mu$ -PCy₂), 115.8 (dd, $J_{PP} = 146, 83, \mu$ -P), 27.2 (dd, $J_{PP} = 146, 51, \mu$ -P). Spectroscopic Data for Compound C. ³¹P{¹H} NMR (THF): δ 262.9 (dd, $J_{\rm PP}$ = 85, 13, μ -P), 155.9 (dd, $J_{\rm PP}$ = 13, 6, μ - PCy_2), 153.0 (dd, $J_{PP} = 85, 6, \mu$ -P).

Preparation of $[Mo_2WCp_2(\mu_3-P)(\mu-PCy_2)(CO)_7]$ (4d). A THF solution (5 mL) of the adduct $[W(CO)_5(THF)]$, prepared in situ from $[W(CO)_6]$ (0.011 g, 0.031 mmol), was added to a THF solution (5 mL) of compound 1 (0.020 g, 0.031 mmol), and the mixture was stirred for 50 min. The solvent was then removed under vacuum, the residue dissolved in toluene (7 mL), and the solution stirred for 2 h at 343 K to give an orange solution containing 4d as the major species. Solvent was then removed under vacuum, the residue extracted with

Table 7. Crystal Data for New Compounds

	2a	$2d \cdot 1/_2 CH_2 Cl_2$	4d	5
mol formula	C ₂₈ H ₃₅ FeMo ₂ O ₅ P ₃	$C_{59}H_{72}Cl_2Mo_4O_{12}P_6W_2$	$C_{29}H_{32}Mo_2O_7P_2W$	$C_{33}H_{39}MnMo_2O_5P_2$
mol wt	792.20	1981.35	930.22	824.4
cryst syst	monoclinic	triclinic	triclinic	monoclinic
space group	$P 2_1/c$	$P\overline{1}$	PĪ	P 2 ₁ /c
radiation (λ), Å	1.54184	1.54184	1.54184	1.54184
<i>a,</i> Å	9.9099(2)	11.458(5)	10.4478(8)	10.9898(3)
<i>b,</i> Å	23.5511(5)	18.270(5)	11.2943(12)	15.1118(4)
<i>c,</i> Å	15.7915(4)	18.747(5)	14.2992(17)	19.6212(9)
α , deg	90	111.258(5)	71.451(11)	90
β , deg	116.591(2)	99.502(5)	88.166(8)	100.840(4)
γ, deg	90	106.801(5)	83.909(8)	90
<i>V</i> , Å ³	3295.7(1)	3337.3(19)	1590.6(3)	3200.5(2)
Ζ	4	2	2	4
calcd density, g cm ⁻³	1.597	1.972	1.942	1.711
abs coeff, mm ⁻¹	11.275	14.728	14.21	10.757
temperature, K	100(2)	124(3)	123(2)	123(2)
heta range, deg	8.81-69.60	2.81-74.55	3.26-74.83	3.72-69.34
index ranges (<i>h</i> ; <i>k</i> ; <i>l</i>)	-11, 11; -28, 28; -19, 18	-14, 14; -22, 22; -23, 23	-12, 9; -13, 13; -16, 17	-13, 13; -13, 17; -23, 22
no. of reflns collected	17884	60753	12329	14021
no. of indep reflns (R_{int})	6059 (0.0296)	13398 (0.0451)	6180 (0.0951)	5857 (0.0546)
reflns with $I > 2\sigma(I)$	5408	11684	3627	4604
<i>R</i> indexes $[\text{data with } I > 2\sigma(I)]^a$	$R1 = 0.0303$, $wR2 = 0.0863^{b}$	$R1 = 0.0328$, w $R2 = 0.0802^{c}$	R1 = 0.0695, wR2 = 0.1673^d	$R1 = 0.0523, wR2 = 0.1292^{e}$
R indexes (all data) ^{a}	$R1 = 0.0345, wR2 = 0.0888^{b}$	R1 = 0.0399, wR2 = 0.0855^c	$R1 = 0.1221, wR2 = 0.2121^d$	R1 = 0.0686, wR2 = 0.1424^{e}
GOF	1.074	1.045	0.954	1.013
no. of restraints/params	0/353	0/758	12/354	0/389
$\Delta ho(\max), \Delta ho(\min), e Å^{-3}$	0.941, -0.654	1.279, -1.428	1.520, -1.689	2.546, -1.488

 ${}^{a}\text{R1} = \sum_{c} ||F_{o}| - |F_{c}|| / \sum_{c} |F_{o}|. \text{ wR2} = [\sum_{c} w(|F_{o}|^{2} - |F_{c}|^{2})^{2} / \sum_{c} w|F_{o}|^{2}]^{1/2}. w = 1 / [\sigma^{2}(F_{o}^{2}) + (aP)^{2} + bP], \text{ where } P = (F_{o}^{2} + 2F_{c}^{2}) / 3. {}^{b}a = 0.0504 \text{ and } b = 2.6898. {}^{c}a = 0.0403 \text{ and } b = 8.5689. {}^{d}a = 0.0899 \text{ and } b = 0.0000. {}^{e}a = 0.0841 \text{ and } b = 0.0000.$

dichloromethane/petroleum ether (1:4), and the extract chromatographed through alumina at 288 K. Elution with the same solvent mixture gave a yellow fraction, yielding, after removal of solvents, compound **4d** as a yellow solid (0.020 g, 69%). Crystals suitable for Xray diffraction analysis were obtained by the slow diffusion of a layer of petroleum ether into a concentrated toluene solution of the complex at 253 K. Anal. Calcd for C₂₉H₃₂Mo₂O₇P₂W: C, 37.44; H, 3.47. Found: C, 37.11; H, 3.42. ¹H NMR: δ 5.86 (s, 10H, Cp), 2.49 (d, br, *J*_{PH} = 13, 2H, Cy), 2.09 (m, 2H, Cy), 2.0–1.0 (m, 18H, Cy). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100.61 MHz): δ 218.1 (t, *J*_{CP} = 12, MoCO), 212.6 [d, *J*_{CP} = 15, WCO(ax)], 198.5 [d, *J*_{CP} = 3, WCO(eq)], 91.5 (s, Cp), 45.6 [d, *J*_{CP} = 2, C1(Cy)], 35.8 [d, *J*_{CP} = 2, C2(Cy)], 33.5 [s, C2(Cy)], 28.27 [d, *J*_{CP} = 11, C3(Cy)], 28.26 [d, *J*_{CP} = 13, C3(Cy)], 26.4 [s, C4(Cy)].

Preparation of $[MnMo_2Cp_2Cp'(\mu_3-P)(\mu-PCy_2)(CO)_5]$ (5). A dichloromethane solution (4 mL) of compound 4b (0.020 g, 0.025 mmol) was placed in a bulb equipped with a Young's valve. The bulb was cooled at 77 K, evacuated under vacuum, and then refilled with CO. The valve was then closed, and the solution was allowed to reach room temperature and further stirred for 10 min to give an orange solution mainly containing compound 5, which was obtained as a redorange solid upon removal of solvents. This compound undergoes spontaneous decarbonylation to give back compound 4b in the absence of a CO atmosphere, and therefore it was not isolated as a pure solid in bulk. However, a few crystals of 5 suitable for X-ray analysis were grown by the slow diffusion of layers of petroleum ether and diethyl ether into a concentrated toluene solution of the complex (contaminated with 4b) at 253 K. IR [ν (CO), Nujol]: 1963 (m, sh), 1953 (s), 1910 (m), 1902 (m, sh), 1894 (vs), 1847 (s). ¹H NMR: δ 5.28, 5.19 (2s, 2 \times 5H, Cp), 4.79 (m, 2H, C₅H₄), 4.67, 4.63 (2m, 2 \times 1H, C₅H₄), 1.96 (s, 3H, Me), 2.50–0.80 (m, 22H, Cy). ¹H NMR (400.13 MHz, 223 K): δ 5.30, 5.22 (2s, 2 × 5H, Cp), 4.85 (m, 2H, C_5H_4), 4.72, 4.61 (2m, 2 × 1H, C_5H_4), 1.97 (s, 3H, Me), 2.50–0.80 (m, 22H, Cy). ³¹P{¹H} NMR: δ 951.0 (d, J_{PP} = 11, μ_3 -P), 223.2 (s, J_{PP} = 11, μ -PCy₂). ³¹P{¹H} NMR (161.98 MHz, 223 K): δ 942.6 (s, μ_3 -P), 220.9 (s, μ -PCy₂). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100.61 MHz, 223 K): δ 93.5, 89.9

(2s, Cp), 86.8, 86.1, 85.8, 83.5 [4s, $CH(C_5H_4)$], 36.7 [d, $J_{CP} = 15$, C1(Cy)], 35.4, 34.0, 33.6 [3s, C2(Cy)], 28.9 [d, $J_{CP} = 10$, C3(Cy)], 28.5 [d, $J_{CP} = 9$, C3(Cy)], 27.7 [s, C2(Cy)], 27.5, 27.4 [2d, $J_{CP} = 15$, C3(Cy)], 26.6, 26.2 [2s, C4(Cy)], 14.2 (s, Me). Resonances due to carbonyl ligands and the C1 atom of the C₅H₄ ring could not be identified in this spectrum, whereas the missing C1(Cy) resonance most likely is obscured by that of the solvent.

Preparation of $[Mo_2WCp_2(\mu_3-P)(\mu-PCy_2)(\mu-CO)(CO)_5]$ (6). A THF solution (7 mL) of compound 1 (0.030 g, 0.046 mmol) was mixed with a THF solution of $[W(CO)_5(THF)]$, prepared in situ from 0.017 g (0.048 mmol) of $[W(CO)_6]$, in a Schlenk tube equipped with a Young's valve, and the mixture was stirred for 50 min at room temperature to give a brown-orange solution. Solvent was then removed under vacuum, and the residue was dissolved in toluene (7 mL) and refluxed for 3 h. After removal of solvents, the residue was extracted with dichloromethane/petroleum ether (1:2) and the extract chromatographed through alumina. Elution with dichloromethane/ petroleum ether (1:5) gave first a yellow fraction containing small amounts of 4d and then an orange fraction, yielding, after removal of solvents, compound 6 as a red solid (0.023 g, 55%). Anal. Calcd for C₂₈H₃₂Mo₂O₆P₂W: C, 37.28; H, 3.58. Found: C, 37.20; H, 3.56. ¹H NMR: δ 5.95 (s, 10H, Cp), 1.95–0.90 (m, 18H, Cy), 0.59, 0.45 (2m, 2 × 2H, Cy). ³¹P{¹H} NMR: δ 925.2 (d, J_{PP} = 30, J_{PW} = 160, μ_3 -P), 246.0 (d, $J_{PP} = 30$, μ -PCy₂). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (150.91 MHz): δ 296.8 (s, μ -CO), 206.4 [d, J_{CP} = 17, WCO(ax)], 197.5 [d, J_{CP} = 6, J_{CW} = 127, WCO(eq)], 94.1 (s, Cp), 44.6 $[d, J_{CP} = 16, C1(Cy)]$, 40.8 $[d, J_{CP} = 19, C1(Cy)]$ C1(Cy)], 33.7, 33.1 [2s, C2(Cy)], 27.6, 27.3 [2d, $J_{CP} = 12$, C3(Cy)], 26.3, 25.9 [2s, C4(Cy)].

X-ray Structure Determination for Compounds 2a, 2d, 4d, and 5. Data collection for these compounds was performed on an Oxford Diffraction Xcalibur Nova single-crystal diffractometer, using Cu K α radiation (λ = 1.5418 Å) at 100 K (2a) or 123 K. Images were collected at a 90 mm (2a) or 63 mm fixed crystal-detector distance, using the oscillation method, with 1° oscillation and variable exposure time per image (11–16 s for 2a, 1.5–2 s for 2d, 5–80 s for 4d, and

25–60 s for 5). The data collection strategy was calculated with the program *CrysAlis Pro CCD*.⁵⁰ Data reduction and cell refinement were performed with the program *CrysAlis Pro RED*.⁵⁰ An empirical absorption correction was applied using the SCALE3 ABSPACK algorithm, as implemented in the program CrysAlis Pro RED. Using the program suite WinGX,⁵¹ the structures were generally solved by Patterson interpretation and phase expansion using SHELXL97,⁵² and refined with full-matrix least squares on F^2 using SHELXL97, except for 2d, which was solved with SIR92.⁵³ In general, all of the positional parameters and anisotropic temperature factors of all non-H atoms were refined anisotropically, except for the C atoms involved in disorder, which were refined isotropically to prevent their temperature factors from becoming nonpositive definite, and all H atoms were geometrically placed and refined using a riding model. Compound 2a was crystallized with a molecule of toluene, found to be disordered, and placed on a symmetry element. This disorder could not be solved; therefore, the SQUEEZE procedure,⁵⁴ as implemented in PLATON,⁵ was used. Upon SQUEEZE application and convergence, the strongest residual peak (0.94 e A^{-3}) was placed between the Mo2 and P1 atoms. In the case of 2d, there were two independent molecules of the complex and a molecule of dichloromethane in the asymmetric unit. One of the cyclopentadienyl ligands in each case was disordered over two positions and satisfactorily modeled with occupancies 0.5/0.5 and 0.6/0.4 respectively. In the case of 4d, one of the carbonyl ligands and one of the cyclopentadienyl groups also were disordered. The cyclopentadienyl group was satisfactorily modeled over two positions with 0.5/0.5 occupancy. However, the disorder in the C2O2 ligand could not be solved; moreover, the corresponding thermal ellipsoids had no chemical sense, so eventually these atoms were refined isotropically with restraints in the Mo2-C2 and C2-O2 distances to get a sensible geometry for this CO group, analogous to that of the other molybdenum-bound carbonyl. Crystallographic data and structure refinement details for all of these compounds are collected in Table 7.

Computational Details. Computations were carried out using the *GAUSSIAN03* package,⁵⁶ in which the hybrid methods B3LYP and UB3LYP [PW(CO)₅] were applied with the Becke three-parameter exchange functional.⁵⁷ and the Lee–Yang–Parr correlation functional.⁵⁸ An accurate numerical integration grid (99590) was used for all of the calculations via the keyword Int=Ultrafine. Effective core potentials and their associated double- ζ LANL2DZ basis set were used for the metal atoms.⁵⁹ The light elements (P, O, C, and H) were described with the 6-31G* basis set.⁶⁰ Geometry optimizations were performed under no symmetry restrictions, using the initial coordinates derived from the X-ray data of **4d** and **5**. Frequency analyses were performed for all stationary points to ensure that a minimum structure with no imaginary frequencies was achieved in each case. Molecular orbitals were visualized using the *MOLEKEL* program,⁶¹ and topological analysis of the electron density was carried out with the *Xaim* routine.⁶²

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

CIF file containing full crystallographic data for compounds 2a, 2d, 4d, and 5 (CCDC 1013089–1013092) and a PDF file containing details of DFT calculations of compounds 4d and 5 and related fragments (atomic coordinates, selected bond lengths and angles, and molecular orbitals). This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Authors

*E-mail: ara_12_79@hotmail.com. *E-mail: mara@uniovi.es.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the DGI of Spain for financial support (Projects CTQ2009-09444 and CTQ2012-33187) and the CMC of Universidad de Oviedo for access to computing facilities. A.R. thanks the CSIC for a JAE-Doc contract, cofunded by the European Social Fund.

REFERENCES

(1) (a) Alvarez, M. A.; García, M. E.; García-Vivó, D.; Ramos, A.; Ruiz, M. A. *Inorg. Chem.* **2011**, *50*, 2064. (b) Alvarez, M. A.; García, M. E.; García-Vivó, D.; Ramos, A.; Ruiz, M. A. *Inorg. Chem.* **2012**, *51*, 11061.

(2) Weber, L. Chem. Rev. 1992, 82, 1839.

(3) (a) Weber, L.; Meine, G.; Boese, R.; Bläser, D. Chem. Ber. 1988, 121, 853. (b) Weber, L.; Meine, G. Chem. Ber. 1987, 120, 457.

(4) (a) Weber, L.; Schumann, I.; Stammler, H.-G.; Neumann, B. J. Organomet. Chem. **1993**, 443, 175. (b) A similar coordination mode is displayed at the phosphine–diphosphorus-bridged CoPt complex $[CoPt(\mu-P_2PPh_2CH_2PPh_2)_2(PPh_3)_2]BF_4$. See: Caporalli, M.; Barbaro, P.; Gonsalvi, L.; Ienco, A.; Yakhvarov, D.; Peruzzini, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2008**, 47, 3766.

(5) Feske, D.; Queisser, J.; Schottmüller, H. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 1996, 622, 1731.

(6) Weber, L.; Schumann, H. Chem. Ber. 1991, 124, 265.

(7) Scherer, O. J.; Ehses, M.; Wolmershäuser, G. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1998, 37, 507.

(8) (a) Caporali, M.; Gonsalvi, L.; Rossin, A.; Peruzzini, M. Chem. Rev. 2010, 110, 4178. (b) Cossairt, B. M.; Piro, N. A.; Cummins, C. C. Chem. Rev. 2010, 110, 4164.

(9) Alvarez, M. A.; García, M. E.; Lozano, R.; Ramos, A.; Ruiz, M. A., results to be published.

(10) Alvarez, M. A.; García, M. E.; García-Vivó, D.; Lozano, R.; Ramos, A.; Ruiz, M. A. Inorg. Chem. **2013**, *52*, 9005.

(11) Alvarez, C. M.; Alvarez, M. A.; García, M. E.; Ramos, A.; Ruiz, M. A.; Graiff, C.; Tiripicchio, A. Organometallics **2007**, *26*, 321.

(12) Cordero, B.; Gómez, V.; Platero-Prats, A. E.; Revés, M.; Echeverría, J.; Cremades, E.; Barragán, F.; Alvarez, S. *Dalton Trans.* 2008, 2832.

(13) Scheer, M.; Leiner, E.; Kramkowski, P.; Schiffer, M.; Baum, G. *Chem.—Eur. J.* **1998**, *4*, 1917.

(14) Scheer, M.; Himmel, D.; Kuntz, C.; Zhan, S.; Leiner, E. *Chem.*— *Eur. J.* **2008**, *14*, 9020.

(15) (a) Cotton, F. A.; Frenz, B. A.; White, A. J. Inorg. Chem. 1974, 13, 1407. (b) Klasen, C.; Lorenz, I. P.; Schmid, S.; Beuter, G. J. Organomet. Chem. 1992, 428, 363. (c) Alvarez, C. M.; Galán, B.; García, M. E.; Riera, V.; Ruiz, M. A.; Vaissermann, J. Organometallics 2003, 22, 5504. (d) Alvarez, M. A.; García, M. E.; García-Vivó, D.; Ramos, A.; Ruiz, M. A. Inorg. Chem. 2012, 51, 3698.

(16) Alvarez, C. M.; Alvarez, M. A.; García, M. E.; González, R.; Ramos, A.; Ruiz, M. A. Inorg. Chem. 2011, 50, 10937.

(17) Bridgeman, A. J.; Mays, M. J.; Woods, A. D. Organometallics 2001, 20, 2076.

(18) Braterman, P. S. *Metal Carbonyl Spectra*; Academic Press: London, U.K., 1975.

(19) (a) García, M. E.; García-Vivó, D.; Ruiz, M. A. Organometallics 2009, 28, 4385. (b) Alvarez, M. A.; García, M. E.; Martínez, M. E.; Ruiz, M. A. Organometallics 2010, 29, 904.

(20) Mays, M. J.; Raithby, P. R.; Sarveswaran, K.; Solan, G. A. Dalton Trans. 2002, 1671.

(21) Huttner, G.; Knoll, K. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1987, 26, 743.
(22) Carty, A. J.; MacLaughlin, S. A.; Nucciarone, D. In Phosphorus-

31 NMR Spectroscopy in Stereochemical Analysis; Verkade, J. G., Quin, L. D., Eds.; VCH: Deerfield Beach, FL, 1987; Chapter 16.

(23) Davies, J. E.; Klunduk, M. C.; Mays, M. J.; Raithby, P. R.;

(25) Davies, J. E., Kulinduk, M. C., Mays, M. J., Kathly, T. R.,
Shields, G. P.; Tompkin, P. K. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1997, 715.
(24) Adatia, T.; McPartlin, M.; Mays, M. J.; Morris, M. J.; Raithby, P.
R. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1989, 1555.

(25) (a) García, M. E.; Ramos, A.; Ruiz, M. A.; Lanfranchi, M.; Marchiò, L. Organometallics 2007, 26, 6197. (b) Alvarez, M. A.; García, M. E.; García-Vivó, D.; Martínez, M. E.; Ruiz, M. A. Organometallics 2011, 30, 2189. (c) García, M. E.; García-Vivó, D.; Ruiz, M. A.; Alvarez, S.; Aullón, G. Organometallics 2007, 26, 5912. (d) Alvarez, M. A.; García, M. E.; Ramos, A.; Ruiz, M. A. Organometallics 2007, 26, 1461.

(26) Arif, A. M.; Cowley, A. H.; Norman, N. C.; Orpen, A. G.; Pakulski, M. Organometallics 1988, 7, 309.

(27) García, M. E.; Riera, V.; Ruiz, M. A.; Sáez, D.; Vaissermann, J.; Jeffery, J. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2002**, 124, 14304.

(28) Amor, I.; García, M. E.; Ruiz, M. A.; Sáez, D.; Hamidov, H.; Jeffery, J. C. Organometallics 2006, 25, 4857.

(29) Huttner, G.; Evertz, K. Acc. Chem. Res. 1986, 19, 406.

(30) Alvarez, M. A.; Amor, I.; García, M. E.; García-Vivó, D.; Ruiz, M. A.; Hamidov, H.; Jeffery, J. C. *Inorg. Chim. Acta* **2014**, in press (DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ica.2014.04.043).

(31) Bode, M.; Schnakenburg, G.; Daniels, J.; Marinetti, A.; Streubel, R. Organometallics **2010**, 29, 656.

(32) Ulbaev, T. S.; Mardashev, Y. S.; Koroteev, M. P.; Khrustalev, V. N.; Antipin, M. Y. *Zh. Strukt. Khim.* **2005**, *46*, 924.

(33) (a) Kramkowski, P.; Baum, G.; Radius, U.; Kaupp, M.; Scheer, M. Chem.—Eur. J. **1999**, 5, 2890. (b) Scheer, M.; Kramkowski, P.; Schuster, K. Organometallics **1999**, 18, 2874.

(34) Groer, T.; Scheer, M. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 2000, 626, 1211.

(35) Bader, R. F. W. Atoms in molecules—A Quantum Theory; Oxford University Press: Oxford, U.K., 1990.

(36) Alvarez, M. A.; Amor, I.; García, M. E.; García-Vivó, D.; Ruiz, M. A.; Suárez, J. Organometallics **2010**, *29*, 4384.

(37) (a) Hoffmann, R. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1982, 21, 711.
(b) Stone, F. G. A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1984, 23, 89.

(38) Jean, Y. Molecular Orbitals of Transition Metal Complexes; Oxford University Press: Oxford, U.K., 2003, Chapter 5.

(39) García, M. E.; Riera, V.; Ruiz, M. A.; Rueda, M. T.; Sáez, D. Organometallics **2002**, *21*, 5515.

(40) (a) Giffin, N. A.; Masuda, J. D. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2011, 255, 1342. (b) Scheer, M.; Balazs, G.; Seitz, A. Chem. Rev. 2010, 110, 4236.

(41) (a) Alvarez, M. A.; García, M. E.; Ramos, A.; Ruiz, M. A. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **2009**, 694, 3864. (b) Alvarez, M. A.; García, M. E.; Ramos, A.; Ruiz, M. A.; Lanfranchi, M.; Tiripicchio, A. *Organometallics* **2007**, 26, 5454.

(42) (a) García, M. E.; García-Vivó, D.; Ruiz, M. A.; Alvarez, S.; Aullón, G. *Organometallics* **2007**, *26*, 4930. (b) Alvarez, M. A.; García, M. E.; García-Vivó, D.; Menéndez, S.; Ruiz, M. A. *Organometallics* **2013**, 32, 218.

(43) Reginato, N.; McGlinchey, M. J. Organometallics 2001, 20, 4147.
(44) Huttner, G.; Weber, U.; Sigwarth, B.; Scheidsteger, O.; Lang, H.; Zsolnai, L. J. Organomet. Chem. 1985, 282, 331.

(45) A general trend established for ${}^{2}J_{XY}$ in complexes of the type [MCpXYL₂] is that it increases algebraically with the X–M–Y angle, with absolute values in the order $|J_{cis}| > |J_{trans}|$. For instance, see: Jameson, C. J. In *Phosphorus-31 NMR Spectroscopy in Stereochemical Analysis*; Verkade, J. G., Quin, L. D., Eds.; VCH: Deerfield Beach, FL, 1987; Chapter 6.

(46) Armarego, W. L. F.; Chai, C. Purification of Laboratory Chemicals, 5th ed.; Butterworth-Heinemann: Oxford, U.K., 2003.

(47) Johnson, E. C.; Meyer, T. J.; Winterton, N. Inorg. Chem. 1971, 10, 218.

(48) Hermann, W. W. Angew. Chem. 1974, 86, 345.

(49) (a) Gibson, V. C.; Long, N. J.; Long, R. J.; White, A. J. P.; Williams, C. K.; Williams, D. J.; Grigiotti, E.; Zanello, P. Organometallics **2004**, 23, 957. (b) Sellman, D.; Brandl, A.; Endell, R. J. Organomet. Chem. **1975**, 97, 229.

(50) CrysAlis Pro; Oxford Diffraction Ltd.: Oxford, U.K., 2006.

(51) Farrugia, L. J. J. Appl. Crystallogr. 1999, 32, 837.

(52) Sheldrick, G. M. Acta Crystallogr. 2008, A64, 112.

(53) Altomare, A.; Cascarano, G.; Giacovazzo, C.; Guagliardi, A.; Burla, M. C.; Polidori, G.; Camalli, M. J. Appl. Crystallogr. **1994**, 27, 435. (54) Van der Sluis, P.; Spek, A. L. Acta Crystallogr. 1990, A46, 194.
(55) Spek, A. L. PLATON, A Multipurpose Crystallographic Tool; Utrecht University: Utrecht, The Netherlands, 2010.

(56) Frisch, M. J.; Trucks, G. W.; Schlegel, H. B.; Scuseria, G. E.; Robb, M. A.; Cheeseman, J. R.; Montgomery, J. A., Jr.; Vreven, T.; Kudin, K. N.; Burant, J. C.; Millam, J. M.; Iyengar, S. S.; Tomasi, J.; Barone, V.; Mennucci, B.; Cossi, M.; Scalmani, G.; Rega, N.; Petersson, G. A.; Nakatsuji, H.; Hada, M.; Ehara, M.; Toyota, K.; Fukuda, R.; Hasegawa, J.; Ishida, M.; Nakajima, T.; Honda, Y.; Kitao, O.; Nakai, H.; Klene, M.; Li, X.; Knox, J. E.; Hratchian, H. P.; Cross, J. B.; Bakken, V.; Adamo, C.; Jaramillo, J.; Gomperts, R.; Stratmann, R. E.; Yazyev, O.; Austin, A. J.; Cammi, R.; Pomelli, C.; Ochterski, J. W.; Ayala, P. Y.; Morokuma, K.; Voth, G. A.; Salvador, P.; Dannenberg, J. J.; Zakrzewski, V. G.; Dapprich, S.; Daniels, A. D.; Strain, M. C.; Farkas, O.; Malick, D. K.; Rabuck, A. D.; Raghavachari, K.; Foresman, J. B.; Ortiz, J. V.; Cui, Q.; Baboul, A. G.; Clifford, S.; Cioslowski, J.; Stefanov, B. B.; Liu, G.; Liashenko, A.; Piskorz, P.; Komaromi, I.; Martin, R. L.; Fox, D. J.; Keith, T.; Al-Laham, M. A.; Peng, C. Y.; Nanayakkara, A.; Challacombe, M.; Gill, P. M. W.; Johnson, B.; Chen, W.; Wong, M. W.; Gonzalez, C.; Pople, J. A. GAUSSIAN03, revision B.02; Gaussian, Inc.: Wallingford, CT, 2004.

(57) Becke, A. D. J. Chem. Phys. 1993, 98, 5648.

(58) Lee, C.; Yang, W.; Parr, R. G. Phys. Rev. B 1988, 37, 785.

(59) Hay, P. J.; Wadt, W. R. J. Chem. Phys. 1985, 82, 299.

(60) (a) Hariharan, P. C.; Pople, J. A. Theor. Chim. Acta 1973, 28,

213. (b) Petersson, G. A.; Al-Laham, M. A. J. Chem. Phys. **1991**, 94, 6081. (c) Petersson, G. A.; Bennett, A.; Tensfeldt, T. G.; Al-Laham, M. A.; Shirley, W. A.; Mantzaris, J. J. Chem. Phys. **1988**, 89, 2193.

(61) MOLEKEL: An Interactive Molecular Graphics Tool: Portmann, S.; Lüthi, H. P. CHIMIA Int. J. 2000, 54, 766.

(62) Ortiz, J. C.; Bo, C. Xaim; Departamento de Química Física e Inorgánica, Universidad Rovira i Virgili: Tarragona, Spain, 1998.